



Th

Attorney Docket No.: 0553-0370

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)
Satoshi Murakami)
Serial No.: 10/606,071)
Filed: June 25, 2003)
For: A Light Emitting Device And Method)
Of Manufacturing The Same)
Examiner: Allan R. Wilson)
Art Unit: 2815)

Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:
Commissioner for Patents,
P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on

July 29 2004
(Date of Deposit)

Shannon Wallace

Name of applicant, assignee, or Registered Rep.

Shannon Wallace 7/29/04
Signature Date

RESPONSE A

Applicant has the following response to the Office Action dated April 29, 2004 in the above-identified application.

In the Office Action, the Examiner has the following rejections under 35 USC §102:

- A. Claims 1, 2, 7, 8, 13, 14 and 29-33 are rejected as being anticipated by Iwanaga et al.
- B. Claims 1, 3, 7, 8, 13, 15, 29-31 and 33 are rejected as being anticipated by Yamanaka et al.
- C. Claims 1, 4, 5, 7, 10, 11, 13, 16, 17, 29-31 and 33 are rejected as being anticipated by Kemmochi et al.

Each of these rejections is respectfully traversed.

Applicant respectfully submits that neither Iwanaga nor Kemmochi disclose or

suggest the light emitting device of the claims of the present application. In particular, although the Examiner is contending that Iwanga illustrates in Fig. 5 a plurality of pixels provided with light emitting elements at reference numeral 57, reference numeral 57 actually indicates a pixel electrode (see col. 9, ln. 59 to col. 10, ln. 13 in Iwanga). Additionally, both Iwanaga and Kemmochi disclose use of an “incident light” for displaying an image (see col. 10 lns. 13-16 in Iwanaga and col. 13, lns. 8-10 in Kemmochi), which apparently indicates a liquid crystal display device.

Yamanaka also fails to disclose or suggest the claimed invention as Yamanaka does not disclose the feature of an opening portion a side surface of which is curved at a light emitting region, as recited in the claims of the present application. Although Yamanaka discloses an example of “electroluminescence element”, it does not disclose or suggest the claimed invention. Moreover, Iwanaga and Kemmochi also do not disclose or suggest the feature of the opening portion the side surface of which is curved at the light emitting region.

Accordingly, for at least the above-stated reasons, it is respectfully submitted that none of the cited references disclose or suggest the claimed invention, and the claims are patentable thereover. Therefore, it is requested that these rejections be withdrawn.

Information Disclosure Statement

Applicant is filing an IDS herewith. It is respectfully requested that this IDS be entered and considered prior to any further action issuing on this application.

Please charge our deposit account 50/1039 for any further fee due for this IDS.

Conclusion


Accordingly, is respectfully submitted that the present application is in a condition for allowance and should be allowed.

If any fee is due for this response, please charge our deposit account 50/1039.

Favorable reconsideration is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: July 29, 2004



Mark J. Murphy
Registration No. 34,225

COOK, ALEX, McFARRON, MANZO,
CUMMINGS & MEHLER, LTD.
200 West Adams Street, Suite 2850
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 236-8500